

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/009.327	01/20/98	FASCI		[4]	1320.1015/JD
F STAAS & HAL!	BEY	QM21/0707	П	VUM	EXAMINER

STAAS & HALSEY
700 11TH STREET NW
SUITE 500
WASHINGTON DC 20001

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3727

DATE MAILED: 07/07/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. Applicant(s)
Office Action Commons	09/009327 M. FASCI No
Office Action Summary	09/609327 M. FASCI Auc Examiner Group Art Unit J. Moy 3727
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-
Period for Response	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by de-	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) M a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considere fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{6}{19}$	78·
☐ This action is FINAL.	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193 	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
√ Claim(s) /-3 Z	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s) $4-6$, 13 , 14	is/are pending in the application. 2 3 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
$\Box \text{ Claim(s)} \qquad \qquad \Box \text{ Claim(s)} \qquad \boxed{1-3, 7-12, (5-22)}$	is/are rejected.
·	_
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)	•
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948.
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir	are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.
 □ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on 	are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved. ted to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 15 U.S.C.	are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved. ted to by the Examiner. ander 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). the priority documents have been
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved. ted to by the Examiner. ander 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). the priority documents have been
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb	are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948.
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948.
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948. isapproveddisapproved. ted to by the Examiner. ander 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). the priority documents have been ern ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb □ received in this national stage application from the Int *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948. isapproveddisapproved. ted to by the Examiner. ander 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). the priority documents have been ern ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Serial Number: 09/009327

Art Unit: 3727

Applicant's election of species of Figs. 1-12A, namely claims 1-3, 7-12,15-22 and 24

without traverse has been acknowledged.

Claims 4-6, 13, 14, and 23 are withdrawn from consideration as drawn to the non-elected

invention.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 7-12, 15-22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Hasselmann in view of Koelichen. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill

in the art to substitute for the connectors of the containers of Haaselmann, the connectors of

Koelichen in order to be arranged in any desired orientation to promote the handling process.

Any inquiry concerning this office action will be directed to Examiner Joseph Moy, (703)

308-1145.

Fax No: (703)305-3579

Date:07/01/98

GROUP 3200